



COPIES OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

3726

WD 9/18/02 Patent

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of : Munsche et al.

Examiner: T. Nguyen

Serial No. : 09/740,262

Group Art Unit: 3726

Filed : 18 December 2000

For : Spreader Roll

#12 / Response

RECEIVED

SEP 11 2002

RESPONSE RE: OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Sir:

Applicant received an Office Action in this case, mailed 13 August 2002. The Office Action states that a complete copy of Applicant's arguments have not been provided.

Applicant mailed Amendment A in response to the Office Action, dated 30 January 2002, with a certificate of mailing on 7 May 2002. Applicant also petitioned for a one month extension of time; Check No. 10215 was submitted for payment. The return postcard, date stamped by the Patent Office on 17 May 2002, acknowledged receipt. It is believed that the original Office Action, dated 30 January 2002, was fully responded to by Applicant and that only part of Applicant's response was received by the Examiner from the internal departments within the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

If there is any fee deficiency, authorization is hereby given to charge Deposit Account No. 06-2360.

Applicant therefore respectfully requests consideration of this Amendment. Acknowledgment of the active status of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By

John M. Manion, Reg. No. 38,957

RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.
PO Box 26618
Milwaukee, WI 53226
(262) 783 - 1300
20 August 2002

Spencer Johnson/11254/020820 Response to incomplete receipt of Amt. A

Enclosures: Certificate of Mailing, Complete copy of Amendment A (with transmittal and return stamped post card), Return post card.



COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on 20 August 2002.

By: Julie A. Wolf
Julie A. Wolf

Dated: 20 August 2002

RECEIVED

SEP 11 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

FILED IN **UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE**COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILEDSec: jaw Check #: 10215 Amount: \$55.00

Patent Application Serial No.: 09/740,262

Title: Spreader Roll

COPY

Inventor: Munsche et al. (Spencer Johnston)

Office Action Mailing Date: 30 January 2002

Date Response Mailed: 7 May 2002

Atty. Docket #: 9395.17254

Via First Class Mail

Enclosures: Amendment Transmittal letter, Amendment A, Check
No. 10215, Return post card.**RECEIVED**

SEP 11 2002

RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

10215

CHECK

DATE	DESCRIPTION	INVOICE #	AMOUNT	DEDUCTION	NET AMOUNT
05/07/02	Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Spencer Johnston; 9395.17254; S/N 09/740,262		55.00		55.00

CHECK DATE	CONTROL NUMBER	TOTALS ►	Gross:	55.00	Ded:	0.00	Net:	55.00
05/07/02	10215							

RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.POST OFFICE BOX 26618
MILWAUKEE, WI 53226-0618ASSOCIATED BANK
MILWAUKEE OFFICE
MILWAUKEE, WI 53201-0522
12-94-750

10215

DATE CHECK AMOUNT

05/07/02

*****\$55.00

PAY

TO THE
ORDER
OF:

*** FIFTY-FIVE & 00/100 DOLLARS

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington DC 20231

⑈010215⑈ ⑈07500094⑈

14 033 548⑈



COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Munsche et al.

Attorney Docket No.: 9395.17254

Serial No.: 09/740,262

Examiner: Nguyen, Trinh

Filed: 18 December 2000

Group Art Unit: 3726

For: Spreader Roll

COPY

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

SEP 11 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

STATUS

2. Applicant is

☒ a small entity

☐ other than a small entity.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United State Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date: 7 May 2002

Julie A. Wolf

Type or print name of person mailing paper

Julie A. Wolf

(Signature of person mailing paper)



COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) - If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 CFR 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings and 37 CFR 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 apply
(complete (a) or (b) as applicable)

- (a) ☒ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 (fees: 37 CFR 1.17(a)-(d) for the total number of months checked below:

	Extension (months)	Fee for other than Small Entity	Fee for Small Entity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	two months	\$ 400.00	\$ 200.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	three months	\$ 920.00	\$ 460.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	four months	\$1440.00	\$ 720.00

RECEIVED
SEP 11 2002

Fee: \$ 55.00

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3706

If an additional extension of time is required please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

- ☐ An extension for _____ months has already been secured and the fee paid therefor of \$ _____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

Extension fee due with this request: \$ _____

OR

- (b) ☐ Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 CFR 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Additional Fee (Small Entity)	Additional Fee (Large Entity)
Total Claims 37 CFR 1.16(c)*	18	-20 =	(2)	x \$ 9.00	\$0	\$0
Independent Claims (37 CFR 1.16(b)**	4	-4 =	0	x \$ 42.00	\$0	\$0
First Presentation of Multiple Dependent claim(s) if any (37 CFR 1.16(d))	0			\$140.00	\$0	\$0
Total Additional Fee					\$0	\$0

* If the "Highest No. Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20".

** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".

The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

WARNING: *"After final rejection or action (S 1.113) amendments may be made cancelling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 CFR S 1.116(a) (emphasis added).*

(complete (c) or (d) as applicable)

(c) ☒ No additional fee for claims is required.

OR

(d) ☐ Total additional fee for claims required \$_____.

FEE PAYMENT

5. ☒ Attached is a check in the sum of \$ 55.00.

☐ Charge Account No. _____ the sum of \$_____.

A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: *If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).*

6. ☒ If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 06-2360.

☒ If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 06-2360

AND/OR



SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY

Reg. No.: 38,957

John M. Manion

TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY

Tel. No.: (262) 783 - 1300

RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.

P.O. ADDRESS

Post Office Box 26618

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53226-0618



COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

COPY

RECEIVED

SEP 11 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

FILED IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Sec: jaw Check #: 10215 Amount: \$55.00

Patent Application Serial No.: 09/740,262

Title: Spreader Roll

Inventor: Munsche et al. (Spencer Johnston)

Office Action Mailing Date: 30 January 2002

Date Response Mailed: 7 May 2002

Atty. Docket #: 9395.17254

Via First Class Mail

Enclosures: Amendment Transmittal letter, Amendment A, Check
No. 10215, Return post card.

